1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, plaintiff Schultze Agency Services, LLC on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC ("Tweeter") filed a Complaint in the above-captioned action against defendants Mitsui & Co. (Taiwan), Ltd. ("Mitsui Taiwan") and Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.), Inc. ("Mitsui USA"), among other defendants, on July 1, 2011.

WHEREAS, Tweeter, Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA, among other defendants previously entered into a stipulation giving Tweeter until December 6, 2011 to file a First Amended Complaint, and giving Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA until January 10, 2012 to move to dismiss, answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint, (See Dkt. #22; MDL Dkt. #4087.)

WHEREAS, on December 1, 2011, Mitsui Taiwan intends to move to dismiss in the amended complaint in the related case of *Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. Epson Imaging* Devices Corp., et al., Individual Docket No. 3:10-cv-00117-SI (N.D. Cal.), Master Docket No. 3:07-md-01827-SI (N.D. Cal.) on the grounds that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Mitsui Taiwan.

WHEREAS, Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA also intend to raise lack of personal jurisdiction as a defense in the instant case.

WHEREAS, the Court's ruling on Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss in *Electrograph* may be relevant to the issue of personal jurisdiction in the instant case.

WHEREAS, in the interests of efficiency and judicial economy, Tweeter, Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA have reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil Rule L.R. 6-1(a), that Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA shall have an extension of time until twenty-one (21) days after the Court rules on Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss in *Electrograph*, in which to move against, answer, or otherwise respond to Tweeter's First Amended Complaint.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, Tweeter on the one hand, and Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA, on the other hand, that Mitsui Taiwan and Mitsui USA's deadline to move to dismiss, answer, or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint will be twenty-

1	one (21) days after the Court issues its order on Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss the amended
2	complaint in <i>Electrograph</i> .
3	
4	Dated: November 29, 2011
5	By: /s/ Michael E. Mumford
6	Paul P. Eyre Ernest E. Vargo
7	Michael E. Mumford Erin K. Murdock-Park
8	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP PNC Center
9	1900 East Ninth Street, Suite 3200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3482
10	(216) 621-0200 (Phone) (216) 696-0740 (Facsimile)
11	peyre@bakerlaw.com evargo@bakerlaw.com
12	mmumford@bakerlaw.com emurdockpark@bakerlaw.com
13	Tracy L. Cole
14	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza
15	New York, NY 10111 Telephone: (212) 589-4210
16	Facsimile: (212) 589-4201 tcole@bakerlaw.com
17	
18	Counsel for Defendants Mitsui & Co. (Taiwan), Ltd. and Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A), Inc.
19	
20	By: <u>/s/ Philip J. Iovieno</u> Philip J. Iovieno
21	BOIÉS, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 10 North Pearl Street, 4th Floor
22	Albany, NY 12207 (518) 434-0600 (Phone)
23	(518) 434-0665 (Facsimile) piovieno@bsfllp.com
24	
25	Counsel for Schultze Agency Services, LLC on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC
26	
27	
28	2

1	Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories
2	thereto has been obtained.
3	[PROPOSED] ORDER
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	DATED this _30th ofNov, _2011.
6	
7	By: Suran Selaton
8	Hon. SUSAN ILLSTON
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3